

Petition to Revive for Avoidable but Unintentional Delay (Rule 137(b)):

Applicant respectfully petitions that the above application be revived. The delay in filing this Amendment was avoidable but unintentional. Applicant misinterpreted the time to reply to the office action. Applicant mistakenly believed that the Six-Month Extension period began at the end of the three-month period to reply to the Office Action rather than at the beginning. Enclosed is a Small Entity Petition to Revive (for unintentional delay) Fee of \$665, to be paid by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

Outstanding Office Action Mailed November 5, 2003

Original Period for Response Expired February 5, 2004

Request for Petition to Revive (for unintentional delay) filed June 17, 2004

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

Thursday, June 17, 2004

Robert A. Cann

Robert A. Cann, Applicant